

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT
NAINITAL

W.P. NO. 4576 (MS) of 2001
(Old No. 12381/1987)

Inder Singh Parihar

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Vyawasthapak, Chandi Ashram and others.....Respondents.

Sri Murlidhar, counsel for the petitioner.
Standing counsel for the respondent.

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

By the present order, release application under Section 21 (1) (a) of U.P. Act No. 13 of 1972 has been rejected. Both the Prescribed Authority as well as the Appellate Authority have recorded a finding that the need of the landlord is not bonafide.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, no interference under Article 226 of the Constitution of India can be made, however, it will be open for the petitioner to file a fresh release application. If such an application is filed, the same may be decided expeditiously.

The Writ Petition is dismissed.

02.11.2004

(RAJESH TANDON, J.)

P.S.R.

